



UNIVERSITÀ
DEL SALENTO

QUADERNI DEL DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE DELL'ECONOMIA

ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION IN FADS MODELS: SOME EXTENSIONS

Donato Scolozzi
Antonella Tolomeo
Luigi Romano

Numero MS/1
Dicembre 2013

DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE DELL'ECONOMIA
FACOLTÀ DI ECONOMIA
UNIVERSITÀ DEL SALENTO - LECCE
ISSN 2284-0818

Quaderni del Dipartimento di Scienze dell'Economia

I Quaderni intendono favorire la circolazione di studi in versione preliminare, al fine di accelerarne la diffusione e per sollecitare suggerimenti e critiche.

Vengono considerati per la pubblicazione sui Quaderni del Dipartimento solo lavori non ancora pubblicati in versione definitiva su libri o su rivista. Possono proporre lavori per la pubblicazione membri del Dipartimento (anche in collaborazione con studiosi esterni) o studiosi che abbiano presentato il lavoro in oggetto nell'ambito di conferenze o seminari svolti nell'ambito delle attività dipartimentali.

I quaderni si articolano in quattro sezioni: Aziendale, Economica, Giuridica, Matematico Statistica.

I quaderni vengono regolarmente inviati a Istituti, Dipartimenti e Biblioteche a carattere economico e sono inclusi nella serie Research Papers in Economics (REPEC).

L'accesso ai Quaderni è approvato dal Coordinatore scientifico della sezione.

Coordinatori scientifici:

Sezione di economia aziendale (A): **Antonio Costa**

Sezione economica (E): **Giampaolo Arachi**

Sezione giuridica (G): **Franco Paparella**

Sezione Matematico-Statistica (MS): **Donato Posa**

Editore:

Dipartimento di Scienze dell'Economia

Indirizzo mail: direzione.dipeconomia@unisalento.it

Ecotekne - via Monteroni

73100 Lecce

Codice ISSN: 2284-0818

Asymmetric Information in Fads Models: Some extensions

Donato Scolozzi, Antonella Tolomeo, Luigi Romano*

*University of Salento, Faculty of Economics,
Dept. of Management, Economics, Mathematics and Statistics

XXXVII Meeting of the Italian Association for Mathematics Applied
to Economic and Social Sciences (AMASES)
September 5-7, 2013, Stresa

Outline

- Motivation:
Ornstein-Uhlenbeck, Hitsuda Representation, Fads models,
Asymmetric Information.
- Model:
Continuous-time version for informed and uninformed agents.
- Results:
Utility Maximization Problem.

⇒ **Paolo Guasoni**: Asymmetric Information in Fads Model
Finance and Stoch 10,159-177 (2006)

Abstract

This paper covers asymmetric information in financial markets from a micro perspective. Particularly, we aim to extend the asset pricing framework introduced by Guasoni (2006), which models price dynamics with both a martingale component, described by permanent shocks, and a stationary component, given by temporary shocks. First, we derive a generalization of this asset pricing model using two Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, then three and in the last case n Brownian motions, as well as include an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process as the $(n+1)$ th element. We find non-Markovian dynamics for the uninformed agents, which questions the validity of the efficient market hypothesis. Moreover, we contrast the positions of informed and uninformed agents. Thereby, the filtration for informed agents is larger and initially specified, whereas the filtration for uninformed agents is smaller and obtained from the Hitsuda representation (1968). For both agents, our study yields similar results as the findings of Guasoni, for the logarithmic utility maximization problem.

Set-up

- Introduce a $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ on which are defined two independent Brownian motion $(W_t)_{t \in [0, +\infty)}$ and $(B_t)_{t \in [0, +\infty)}$.
- We denote by U the process Ornstein - Uhlenbeck obtained as the unique solution to the following stochastic differential equation:

$$dU_t = -\lambda U_t dt + dB_t; \quad (1)$$

- Set

$$Y_t = pW_t + qU_t \quad (2)$$

where $p, q > 0$ and $p^2 + q^2 = 1$.

Let's introduce two deterministic, Lebesgue measurable functions $\mu_t > 0$ e $\sigma_t > 0$ such that $\int_0^T \mu_t dt < +\infty$ and $\int_0^T \sigma_t^2 dt < +\infty$ for all $T > 0$.

- The price S of the risky asset is defined as the solution to the stochastic differential equation:

$$\frac{dS_t}{S_t} = \mu_t dt + \sigma_t dY_t \quad (3)$$

...Set-up

- which is

$$S_t = S_0 \exp \left[\int_0^t \left(\mu_s - \frac{\sigma_s^2}{2} \right) ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s dY_s \right]. \quad (4)$$

- introduce two filtrations $(\mathcal{F}_t^0)_{t \in [0, +\infty)}$ and $(\mathcal{F}_t^1)_{t \in [0, +\infty)}$, with $\mathcal{F}_t^0 \subset \mathcal{F}_t^1$, where $\mathcal{F}_t^0$ is generated by Y and $\mathcal{F}_t^1$ is generated by Brownian motions.

Extensions:

- Two processes O-U;
- Three processes O-U;
- n Brownian Motions and $(n+1)$ th process O-U.

Asset Price Dynamics

For informed agents the asset price dynamics can be written as

$$\frac{dS_t}{S_t} = (\mu_t - \sigma_t q \lambda U_t) dt + \sigma_t dB_t^1 \quad (5)$$

where $B_t^1 = qB_t + pW_t$ is a Brownian motion.

Extensions (Two O-U):

$$\frac{dS_t}{S_t} = (\mu_t - \sigma_t q \lambda U_t - \sigma_t p \kappa V_t) dt + \sigma_t dB_t^1 \quad (6)$$

where $B_t^1 = qB_t + pW_t$ is a Brownian motion.

.. cont'd

- First task: establish a similar decomposition for S , or Y , from the viewpoint of the uninformed agents, in terms of some $\mathcal{F}^0$ -Brownian motion B^0 .
- Y fails the Markov property in its natural filtration.
- It isn't possible to expect a dynamics of the form

$$dY_t = \alpha(t, Y_t) dt + \beta(t, Y_t) dA_t^0. \quad (7)$$

Theorem

Let $Y_t = pW_t + qU_t$ and $(\mathcal{F}_t^0)_{t \in [0, +\infty)}$. Let $p, q, \lambda > 0$ and $p^2 + q^2 = 1$. Define:

$$\Gamma(s) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \log [\cosh(\lambda ps) + p \sinh(\lambda ps)] \quad (8)$$

$$\gamma(s) = \Gamma'(s) = -p \tanh(\lambda ps + \arctan h(p)). \quad (9)$$

then the process

$$B_t^0 = Y_t + \int_0^t \left[\lambda(\gamma(s) + 1)Y_s + \int_0^s \lambda^2(\gamma(u) + p^2)e^{\lambda(\Gamma(s) - \Gamma(u))} Y_u du \right] ds \quad (10)$$

is a $\mathcal{F}^0$ Brownian motion.

...cont'd

We can read the Theorem in the case of uninformed agent, and the asset price dynamics is

$$\frac{dS_t}{S_t} = (\mu_t - \sigma_t \nu_t) dt + \sigma_t dB_t^0 \quad (11)$$

where

$$\nu_t = -\lambda(\gamma(t) + 1)Y_t - \lambda^2 \int_0^t (\gamma(s) + p^2) e^{\lambda(\Gamma(t) - \Gamma(u))} Y_u du \quad (12)$$

or

$$\nu_t = -\lambda \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-u)(1+\gamma(u))} dB_u^0 \quad (13)$$

Extension-Theorem (Two O-U)

Let $Y_t = pV_t + qU_t$ and $(\mathcal{F}_t^0)_{t \in [0, +\infty)}$. Let $p, q, \kappa, \lambda > 0$ and $p^2 + q^2 = 1$. Define:

$$\Gamma(s) = -\frac{1}{(\lambda + \kappa)} \log [a \cosh(a(\lambda + \kappa)s) + b \sinh(a(\lambda + \kappa)s)] \quad (14)$$

$$\gamma(s) = \Gamma'(s) = -a \tanh[a(\lambda + \kappa)s + \arctan h(b/a)]. \quad (15)$$

then the process

$$B_t^0 = Y_t + \int_0^t \left\{ \int_0^s \left[(\lambda + \kappa) e^{(\lambda + \kappa)(\Gamma(s) - \Gamma(u))} (1 + \gamma(u)) + \lambda \kappa \int_u^s \left(e^{(\lambda + \kappa)(\Gamma(s) - \Gamma(v))} \right) dv \right] dY_u \right\} ds \quad (16)$$

is a $\mathcal{F}^0$ -Brownian motion.

Extension Three O-U

- Let $Y_t = p_1 U_1 + p_2 U_2 + p_3 U_3$ and $(\mathcal{F}_t^0)_{t \in [0, +\infty)}$.
- Let $p_1, p_2, p_3, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 > 0$.
- Y_t fails the Markov property in its natural filtration iff the following equality is not verified

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3.$$

We have to consider the "analogous" $\Gamma(s), \gamma(s), B_t^0$ like in the previous cases.

Extension n Brownian Motions and $(n+1)$ th process O-U

- Let $Y_t = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j B_t^j + p_{n+1} U_t^{n+1}$ and $(\mathcal{F}_t^0)_{t \in [0, +\infty)}$.
- Let $\lambda_{n+1} > 0, p_{n+1} > 0$.
- Y_t fails the Markov property in its natural filtration iff one of the following equalities is not verified
 - 1) $\lambda_{n+1} = 0$;
 - 2) $\lambda_{n+1} > 0$ and $p_j = 0$ or $p_{n+1} = 0$.

We have to consider the "analogous" $\Gamma(s), \gamma(s), B_t^0$ like in the previous cases.

Set-up

- Assume that both informed and uninformed agents invest in the market model to maximize their expected utility from terminal wealth.
- The discounted portfolio value at time t of an agent starting with initial capital x and holding H_t shares of the asset S is given by:

$$X_t = x + (H \cdot S)_t \quad (17)$$

- Agents are constrained to use admissible strategies, which must be predictable in their respective filtrations:

$$A_x^i = \{H : \mathcal{F}^i - \text{predictable}, S - \text{integrable}, x + (H \cdot S)_t > 0 \text{ a.s. } \forall t \in [0, T]\}$$

...cont'd

- We solve the problem of Utility maximization from terminal wealth:

$$\max \{ \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{X}_T)] : \mathbf{H} \in \mathbf{A}_x^i \}$$

To write the portfolio value in exponential form, we denote by $\pi = \frac{HS}{X}$ the fraction of wealth in the risky asset, and observe that (17) can be written as

$$X_t = x + (H \cdot S)_t \Rightarrow X_t = x + \int_0^t H_s dS_s,$$

$$\pi = \frac{HS}{X} \Rightarrow H = \frac{\pi X}{S},$$

so

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t \frac{\pi_s X_s}{S_s} dS_s$$

$$dX_t = \frac{\pi_s X_t}{S_t} dS_t \Rightarrow \frac{dX_t}{X_t} = \pi_s \frac{dS_t}{S_t}.$$

...cont'd

And substituting the dynamics of the asset S_t

$$dX_t = X_t [\pi_t \mu_t dt + \pi_t \sigma_t dY_t].$$

where

$$X_t = x \exp \left[\int_0^t (\pi_s \mu_s - \frac{1}{2} \pi_s^2 \sigma_s^2) ds + \int_0^t \pi_s \sigma_s dY_s \right].$$

...cont'd

Because Y_t is not markovian, we have to consider B_t^0 and B_t^1 for the uniformed and informed agents:

- $dX_t = X_t \pi_t [\mu_t + \sigma_t \nu_t] dt + X_t \sigma_t \pi_t dB_t^0$
- $dX_t = X_t \pi_t [\mu_t - \lambda \sigma_t q U_t] dt + X_t \sigma_t \pi_t [p dW_t + q dB_t]$

Then

$$X_t = x \exp \left[\int_0^t (\pi_t \mu_t + \pi_t \sigma_t \nu_t - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_t^2 \pi_t^2) dt + \int_0^t \sigma_t \pi_t dB_t^0 \right].$$

$$X_t = x \exp \left[\int_0^t \left[\pi_t (\mu_t - \lambda \sigma_t q U_t - \kappa \sigma_t p V_t) - \frac{1}{2} \pi_t^2 \sigma_t^2 \right] dt + \int_0^t \pi_t \sigma_t p dW_t + \int_0^t \pi_t \sigma_t q dB_t \right].$$

...cont'd

We consider the logarithmic utility maximization problem for both agents:

- $E(\log X_T) = \log x + E \left[\int_0^T (\pi_t \mu_t + \pi_t \sigma_t \nu_t - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_t^2 \pi_t^2) dt \right] + E \left[\int_0^T \sigma_t \pi_t dB_t^0 \right]$
- $E(\log X_T) = \log x + E \left[\int_0^T (\pi_t \mu_t - \pi_t \lambda \sigma_t q U_t - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_t^2 \pi_t^2) dt \right] + E \left[\int_0^T \sigma_t \pi_t p dW_t \right] + E \left[\int_0^T \sigma_t \pi_t q dB_t \right]$

Theorem

The value function for u^i and the optimal strategies π^i for $i = 0, 1$ are

$$\pi_t^0 = \frac{\mu_t + \sigma_t \nu_t}{\sigma_t^2}, \quad u^0(x) = \log x + \frac{1}{2} E \left[\int_0^T \left(\frac{\mu_t + \sigma_t \nu_t}{\sigma_t} \right)^2 dt \right].$$

$$\pi_t^1 = \frac{\mu_t - \lambda \sigma_t q U_t}{\sigma_t^2}, \quad u^1(x) = \log x + \frac{1}{2} E \left[\int_0^T \left(\frac{\mu_t - \lambda \sigma_t q U_t}{\sigma_t} \right)^2 dt \right].$$

Then the following asymptotics, as $T \rightarrow +\infty$:

$$u^0(x) \sim \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \left(\frac{\mu_t^2}{\sigma_t^2} \right) dt + \frac{\lambda}{4} (1-p)^2 T, \quad u^1(x) \sim \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \left(\frac{\mu_t^2}{\sigma_t^2} \right) dt + \frac{\lambda}{4} (1-p^2) T,$$

And the additional logarithmic utility of the informed agent is:

$$u^1(x) - u^0(x) \sim \frac{\lambda}{2} p(1-p) T.$$

Extension-Theorem (Two O-U)

The value function for u^i and the optimal strategies π^i for $i = 0, 1$ are

$$\pi_t^0 = \frac{\mu_t + \sigma_t \nu_t}{\sigma_t^2},$$

$$u^0(x) = \log x + \frac{1}{2} E \left[\int_0^T \left(\frac{\mu_t + \sigma_t \nu_t}{\sigma_t} \right)^2 dt \right];$$

$$\pi_t^1 = \frac{\mu_t - \lambda \sigma_t q U_t - \kappa \sigma_t p V_t}{\sigma_t^2},$$

$$u^1(x) = \log x + \frac{1}{2} E \left[\int_0^T \left(\frac{\mu_t - \lambda \sigma_t q U_t - \kappa \sigma_t p V_t}{\sigma_t} \right)^2 dt \right].$$

Then asymptotically, as $T \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain that both utilities and the additional logarithmic utility of the informed agents diverge.

For both agents, in the case of n Brownian motions and $(n+1)$ th O-U, our study yields similar results as the findings of Guasoni.

References

- Cheridito, P.: Representations of Gaussian measures that are equivalent to Wiener measure. In: Séminaire de Probabilités XXXVII. Lecture notes in mathematics, vol. 1382, pp. 8189. Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer 2003
- Hitsuda, M.: Representation of Gaussian processes equivalent to Wiener process. Osaka J Math 5, 299312 (1968)
- Guasoni, P.: Asymmetric information in fads models. Finance and Stoch (2006) 10: 159177